推荐
modeler.org.cn

Document Title	Specification of Abstract Platform
Document Owner	AUTOSAR
Document Responsibility	AUTOSAR
Document Identification No	947

Document Status	published
Part of AUTOSAR Standard	Foundation
Part of Standard Release	R20-11

Document Change History			
Date	**Release**	**Changed by**	**Description**
2020-11-30	R20-11	AUTOSAR Release Management	• Migration of document to standard Foundation • Restructuring and further conceptual detailing • Addition of several Appendix examples
2019-11-28	R19-11	AUTOSAR Release Management	• Initial release

推荐
modeler.org.cn

Disclaimer

This work (specification and/or software implementation) and the material contained in it, as released by AUTOSAR, is for the purpose of information only. AUTOSAR and the companies that have contributed to it shall not be liable for any use of the work.

The material contained in this work is protected by copyright and other types of intellectual property rights. The commercial exploitation of the material contained in this work requires a license to such intellectual property rights.

This work may be utilized or reproduced without any modification, in any form or by any means, for informational purposes only. For any other purpose, no part of the work may be utilized or reproduced, in any form or by any means, without permission in writing from the publisher.

The work has been developed for automotive applications only. It has neither been developed, nor tested for non-automotive applications.

The word AUTOSAR and the AUTOSAR logo are registered trademarks.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction 6
1.1 Document Structure . . . 6
1.2 Terms and Abbreviations . . . 6
1.3 Document Conventions . . . 7
1.4 Scope and Limitations . . . 9
2 Concept 11
2.1 Background . . . 11
2.2 Usage . . . 12
2.2.1 General . . . 12
2.2.2 AUTOSAR Specific . . . 13
2.3 Modeling Approach . . . 13
2.3.1 Meta-Model Choice . . . 13
2.3.2 Bottom-up vs Top-down . . . 14
2.3.2.1 Top-down . . . 14
2.3.2.2 Bottom-up . . . 14
2.3.3 Meta-class selection . . . 14
3 Abstract Platform 16
3.1 Methodology . . . 16
3.1.1 Overview . . . 16
3.2 System Model . . . 17
3.2.1 Overview . . . 17
3.2.2 Root Composition . . . 18
3.3 Software Component Model . . . 19
3.3.1 Overview . . . 19
3.3.2 Component Compositions . . . 20
3.3.2.1 SwComponentPrototypes . . . 20
3.3.3 Component Types . . . 20
3.3.4 Connectors . . . 23
3.3.5 Port Groups . . . 23
3.4 Port Interfaces . . . 24
3.4.1 Overview . . . 24
3.4.2 Composite Interface . . . 25
3.4.2.1 Elements of Composite Interface . . . 26
3.5 Data Types . . . 30
3.5.1 Overview . . . 30
3.5.2 Properties of Data Definitions . . . 30
3.5.3 Data Type Categories . . . 32
3.5.4 Application Data Types . . . 35
3.5.5 Sub-classes of ApplicationDataType . . . 36
3.5.5.1 Deferred Data Type . . . 36
3.5.6 Type Tracing . . . 37
3.5.6.1 Deferred Data Type . . . 38

推荐 modeler.org.cn

4 Requirements 40
4.1 Overview 40
A Examples 41
A.1 System 41
A.2 Component hierarchy 41
A.3 Data type tracing 43
B Mentioned Class Tables 44
C History of Constraints and Specification Items 50
C.1 Constraint and Specification Item History of this document according to AUTOSAR Release R19-11 50
C.1.1 Added Traceables in R19-11 50
C.1.2 Changed Traceables in R19-11 51
C.1.3 Deleted Traceables in R19-11 51
C.1.4 Added Constraints in R19-11 51
C.1.5 Changed Constraints in R19-11 52
C.1.6 Deleted Constraints in R19-11 52
C.2 Constraint and Specification Item History of this document according to AUTOSAR Release R20-11 52
C.2.1 Added Traceables in R20-11 52
C.2.2 Changed Traceables in R20-11 53
C.2.3 Deleted Traceables in R20-11 54
C.2.4 Added Constraints in R20-11 54
C.2.5 Changed Constraints in R20-11 54
C.2.6 Deleted Constraints in R20-11 54
D Splitable Elements in the Scope of this Document 56
E Variation Points in the Scope of this Document 57

推荐
modeler.org.cn

References

[1] Standardization Template
AUTOSAR_TPS_StandardizationTemplate

[2] Virtual Functional Bus
AUTOSAR_EXP_VFB

[3] Meta Model
AUTOSAR_MMOD_MetaModel

[4] Generic Structure Template
AUTOSAR_TPS_GenericStructureTemplate

[5] Software Component Template
AUTOSAR_TPS_SoftwareComponentTemplate

推荐 modeler.org.cn

1 Introduction

1.1 Document Structure

This document contains the specification of the design of an AUTOSAR abstract platform (XP). Due to the specification being abstract of the AUTOSAR adaptive platform (AP) and AUTOSAR classic platform (CP), it is released as part of the AUTOSAR foundation (FO).

The document is structured in the following way:

Section 1 (this chapter) documents the terms, abbreviations, conventions; scope and limitations in the specification and requirement tracing.

Section 2 provides a description of the big picture, sets the background reasons and motivation for the specification and usage principles for intended stakeholders. Additionally, the general modeling approach and modeling decisions are described.

Section 3 dives into the design aspects of an *abstract platform*. The modeling is described along with constraints and requirement specifics. The sub-sections follow the main use-cases: introduction of new meta-classes and description of existing meta-classes to realize the design of an abstract platform and...

Section 4 annotation and traceability of requirements.

1.2 Terms and Abbreviations

The following table contains a list of terms used in the scope of this document along with the spelled-out meaning of each of the abbreviations.

Term/Abbreviation	*Meaning*
AA	(AUTOSAR) Adaptive Application
AP	(AUTOSAR) Adaptive Platform/Standards
API	Application Programming Interface
ASD	Abstract Platform System Description
ARXML	AUTOSAR XML
CP	(AUTOSAR) Classic Platform/Standards
ECU	Electrical Control Unit
FO	(AUTOSAR) Foundation Standards
GENIVI	GENeva In-Vehicle Infotainment

▽

△

Term/Abbre-viation	*Meaning*
IDL	Interface Description Language
IO	Input/Output
JSON	JavaScript Object Notation
NVM	Non Volatile Memory
OEM	Original Equipment Manufacturer
OS	Operating System
RPC	Remote Procedure Call
RSI	REST Services Interface
SOA	Service-Oriented Architecture
SWC	Software Component
SYSML	Systems Modelling Language
VFB	Classic/Adaptive Platform Virtual Functional Bus
VFB++	Abstract Platform VFB
VISS	Vehicle Information Service Specification
VIWI	Volkswagen Infotainment Web Interface
W3C	World Wide Web Consortium
XML	Extensible Markup Language
XP	Abstract Platform
XSC	Abstract Software Component
XSD	XML Schema Definition

Table 1.1: Terms and Abbreviations used in the scope of this Document

1.3 Document Conventions

Technical terms are typeset in mono spaced font, e.g. `PortPrototype`. As a general rule, plural forms of technical terms are created by adding "s" to the singular form, e.g. `PortPrototype`s. By this means the document resembles terminology used in the AUTOSAR XML Schema.

This document contains constraints in textual form that are distinguished from the rest of the text by a unique numerical constraint ID, a headline, and the actual constraint text starting after the ⌈ character and terminated by the ⌋ character.

The purpose of these constraints is to literally constrain the interpretation of the AUTOSAR meta-model such that it is possible to detect violations of the standardized behavior implemented in an instance of the meta-model (i.e. on M1 level).

Makers of AUTOSAR tools are encouraged to add the numerical ID of a constraint that corresponds to an M1 modeling issue as part of the diagnostic message issued by the tool.

The attributes of the classes introduced in this document are listed in form of class tables. They have the form shown in the example of the top-level element AUTOSAR:

Please note that constraints are not supposed to be enforceable at any given time in an AUTOSAR workflow. During the development of a model, constraints may legitimately be violated because an incomplete model will obviously show inconsistencies.

However, at specific points in the workflow, constraints shall be enforced as a safeguard against misconfiguration.

The points in the workflow where constraints shall be enforced, sometimes also known as the "binding time" of the constraint, are different for each model category, e.g. on the classic platform, the constraints defined for software-components are typically enforced prior to the generation of the RTE while the constraints against the definition of an Ecu extract shall be applied when the Ecu configuration for the Com stack is created.

For each document, possible binding times of constraints are defined and the binding times are typically mentioned in the constraint themselves to give a proper orientation for implementers of AUTOSAR authoring tools.

Class	**AUTOSAR**			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::AutosarTopLevelStructure			
Note	Root element of an AUTOSAR description, also the root element in corresponding XML documents. **Tags:**xml.globalElement=true			
Base	*ARObject*			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
adminData	AdminData	0..1	aggr	This represents the administrative data of an Autosar file. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=10
arPackage	ARPackage	*	aggr	This is the top level package in an AUTOSAR model. **Stereotypes:** atpSplitable; atpVariation **Tags:** atp.Splitkey=arPackage.shortName, arPackage.variationPoint.shortLabel vh.latestBindingTime=blueprintDerivationTime xml.sequenceOffset=30
fileInfoComment	FileInfoComment	0..1	aggr	This represents a possibility to provide a structured comment in an AUTOSAR file. **Stereotypes:** atpStructuredComment **Tags:** xml.roleElement=true xml.sequenceOffset=-10 xml.typeElement=false
introduction	DocumentationBlock	0..1	aggr	This represents an introduction on the Autosar file. It is intended for example to rpresent disclaimers and legal notes. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=20

Table 1.2: AUTOSAR

The first rows in the table have the following meaning:

Class: The name of the class as defined in the UML model.

Package: The UML package the class is defined in. This is only listed to help locating the class in the overall meta model.

Note: The comment the modeler gave for the class (class note). Stereotypes and UML tags of the class are also denoted here.

Base Classes: If applicable, the list of direct base classes.

The headers in the table have the following meaning:

Attribute: The name of an attribute of the class. Note that AUTOSAR does not distinguish between class attributes and owned association ends.

Type: The type of an attribute of the class.

Mul.: The assigned multiplicity of the attribute, i.e. how many instances of the given data type are associated with the attribute.

Kind: Specifies, whether the attribute is aggregated in the class (`aggr` aggregation), an UML attribute in the class (`attr` primitive attribute), or just referenced by it (`ref` reference). Instance references are also indicated (`iref` instance reference) in this field.

Note: The comment the modeler gave for the class attribute (role note). Stereotypes and UML tags of the class are also denoted here.

Please note that the chapters that start with a letter instead of a numerical value represent the appendix of the document. The purpose of the appendix is to support the explanation of certain aspects of the document and does not represent binding conventions of the standard. The verbal forms for the expression of obligation specified in [TPS_STDT_00053] shall be used to indicate requirements, see Standardization Template, chapter Support for Traceability ([1]).

The representation of requirements in AUTOSAR documents follows the table specified in [TPS_STDT_00078], see Standardization Template, chapter Support for Traceability ([1]).

1.4 Scope and Limitations

In the AUTOSAR timeline, the `XP` specification was added after the `CP` and `AP`. It is also independent of the existing `CP`/`AP`s, and for that reason, it is released as part of the AUTOSAR Foundation.

The `XP` uses the terms: `VFB` and `VFB++`. The AUTOSAR `VFB` is conceptually described in [2]. While that document resides in the `CP`, the general principles in [2] chapter "Overall mechanisms and concepts" also apply to `AP` and `XP`. In particular the idea of a `VFB` level view applies in modeling terms to the set of those meta-model artifacts i.e. components, ports, interfaces, connectors used to describe the functional inter-

ECU communications. This is independent of whether the platform under discussion is signal based, service based or abstract.

An XP VFB++ description is a purely functional design description. It is independent of topology and deployment and thus does not describe these.

An XP description has its technical borders. The basis of an XP description shall be an AUTOSAR System description. This fits together with general methodology of AUTOSAR to root the description of an AUTOSAR system in an own description, see 3.2.

The scope of the XP system description is, on VFB level, from the outlining of the SWC design down to the detailing of the definition of application level data types in the software interfaces, see 3.5.

See [TPS_SWCT_01229], [TPS_SWCT_01230] and [TPS_SWCT_01236] for details on application level data types.

2 Concept

2.1 Background

The existing AUTOSAR meta-model provides a means to comprehensively design and deploy applications on CP ECUs and AP Machines. Depending on the intended chosen platform for concrete deployment, the feature/function design model is (intentionally) tightly coupled to the choice of platform.

A system designer is drawn *a priori* into a concrete decision whether to design and deploy on AP or CP or indeed non-AUTOSAR platform. The design choices become therefore biased by the intended deployment platform.

Figure 2.1: Placement of an abstract platform

An system designer at an early **software** design stage may not necessarily care about for example what type of concrete component shall implement the function, or, which type of concrete interface provides the required data.

Rather the designer just wants to model the interaction between the functional software blocks and specify the basics: i.e. signal names, the directional flow of the data (providers/consumers) and the physical data types. Further refinement of the design will be done in a downstream stage, i.e. separation of concerns.

In methodology terms, this dovetails quite neatly to the whole design approach of AUTOSAR - whereby typically a staged approach to design is used. Foreseeably, this would be generally more suited to a *green-fields* or *blank-page* design methodology implemented in the OEM[1] - in contrast to other types of design methodology, e.g. where the supplier has very limited technical design decision.

[1] and progressing through various stages of refinement ending in a design finalization (by either in-house or external supplier)

2.2 Usage

2.2.1 General

The specification aims to provide a system description of a functional model. It further allows requirement annotation and general traceability of model elements including requirements and functional elements. The abstract description may provide a higher level view of a system, to help a system designers "'step back"' from early decisions about deployment, or indeed whether to defer that decision to a downstream design stage or to a supplier(s).

While the principal use-cases are founded for AP and CP, it is not (by design) intended to be exclusive to those platforms. Usage with *other* automotive or non-automotive domains should also be possible as shown in Figure 2.2.

Standardized Non-AUTOSAR systems have their own domain-specific models/IDLs and it is not within the scope of the XP to try to determine what these domain-specific models/IDLs are - or indeed, whether they should be accommodated in the scope of the XP. Rather, the intention is that through domain-specific tooling some form of model-to-model translation/derivation can be done from an XP description to a non-AUTOSAR model.

Figure 2.2: General relationship between abstract and concrete level standards

2.2.2 AUTOSAR Specific

There is not a *hard* modeling dependency between an XP and a AP/CP platform view in the sense that the concrete level depends on the abstract. The methodological approach does not forbid a system designer bypassing entirely an XP model and designing only in an AP/CP model to achieve the desired result[2].

Nevertheless, with the support of tooling and tracing, it should be similarly entirely possible to create an XP out of an AP/CP description.

An example scenario is shown in Figure 2.3. An abstract platform model with several levels of compositions of XSCs of different flavors, is derived to parallel AP/CP models. In this case a split of the XP model is shown, but in general, any number of permutations could be possible include a full derivation to either platform.

Figure 2.3: Relationship between AUTOSAR Abstract, Classic and Adaptive models

2.3 Modeling Approach

2.3.1 Meta-Model Choice

If the goal is to allow an abstract design, it could be argued that the chosen M2 model should also be abstract (of AUTOSAR). However, while the abstract design should be open to designers of *non-AUTOSAR platforms* to utilize, the primary focus is usage within the AUTOSAR domain i.e. AP/CP.

For that reason, the argumentation of using the AUTOSAR Meta-Model [3] as the basis for the M2 level modeling approach is solidified. The XP is designed using the AUTOSAR meta-model, but should not restrict usage of abstract designs to AUTOSAR.

[2] backwards compatibility

2.3.2 Bottom-up vs Top-down

Based on the assumption of the meta-model choice in 2.3.1, the next point is how to approach the creation of an abstract platform model. In very general terms, there are two possible approaches: bottom-up and top-down. Note: AUTOSAR supports tracing between models with dedicated meta-model artifacts in [4] chapter "'Documentation Support::Documentation Block"'.

2.3.2.1 Top-down

If an XP model is created top-down, the VFB++ functional interactions are modeled using a *green fields* approach - this abstract model is then traced through to the creation of a new concrete model.

If the concrete model shall be an AUTOSAR model this involves deriving the VFB++ view to the VFB view in the respective AP/CP. If the concrete model is a non-AUTOSAR model it is in the domain of the non-AUTOSAR model to define this.

While this approach offers more freedom to design, there is a risk of specifying an XP which, in the end, is too distant from the needs of the existing platforms. The more likely approach therefore is to favor the bottom-up method.

2.3.2.2 Bottom-up

If an XP model is created by bottom-up, an existing concrete platform model is taken as the basis for the content. This in practice means that this form of XP description is immediately *more* valid than the former approach because it already has a basis in a concrete platform model. This approach would also allow for an automated creation of an XP description.

If the concrete model is an AP and CP model, the existing VFB view in the AP/CP platforms should be abstracted upstream to create the XP VFB++ model. If the concrete model is a non-AUTOSAR model it is in the domain of the non-AUTOSAR model to define this.

With this approach, the XP design is better guaranteed to fit well with the existing platforms.

2.3.3 Meta-class selection

Having decided on the general approach for the design of the XP, the next question is which approach to use regarding meta-class selection, i.e. re-use existing meta-classes or re-design new meta-classes.

While the AP and CP are based on different architecture principles, they mostly share the same modeling principles on VFB level and thus the VFB modeling. The approach is therefore to examine the VFB level model in both platforms as a primary basis and the non-AUTOSAR platforms as a secondary basis.

The existing AUTOSAR meta-model, especially the specification of the AUTOSAR Software-Component Template [5] already provides a good basis to comprehensively design a software component model. The principles therein may also be found in other more generic non-AUTOSAR component models.

It may be that any given identical meta-class may be used in any of the XP, AP or CP platforms. This approach is similar to that used when designing the AP meta-model, and similarly, it is necessary to either extend meta-classes with XP specifics and constrain them to the XP ([TPS_GST_00372]).

3 Abstract Platform

3.1 Methodology

3.1.1 Overview

An abstract platform system description provides the possibility to achieve a higher-level software view on the system. An architect can decide during design time which type of downstream AUTOSAR system description to use. A level of architectural freedom through abstraction is attained by formally describing the functional interactions on a *component model* level, but without fixing details of any downstream implementation platform.

[TPS_APSD_01000]{DRAFT} **Principle of an abstract platform system description** ⌈An abstract platform system description allows a platform independent specification of the functional interactions of inter-connected software components.⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01001]{DRAFT} **VFB level modeling of an abstract platform** ⌈An abstract platform description uses those VFB level elements in the AUTOSAR meta-model as the basis for modeling.⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01002]{DRAFT} **Agnosticism of deployment aspects** ⌈An abstract platform is agnostic of deployment aspects.⌋*()*

AUTOSAR CP/AP models are still the basis for platform specific software design and should remain independent of an XP. To preserve the separation of concerns, it should be avoided that AUTOSAR CP/AP models use XP artifacts. The inverse case (usage of CP/AP in an XP) however, is allowed.

This is enforced by utilizing the tagging mechanism to place platform specific restrictions on those XP artifacts to exclude their visibility in CP/AP models. Refer to [TPS_GST_00372] in [4] chapter "'Usage of UML in AUTOSAR Templates::UML Tags"' for an explanation of tagging.

[TPS_APSD_01035]{DRAFT} **Placement of an abstract platform model** ⌈An abstract platform model is wholly independent of concrete platform models.⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01003]{DRAFT} **Exclusion of abstract platform artifacts to an AUTOSAR concrete platform** ⌈The abstract platform uses the AUTOSAR mmt.RestrictToStandards tag to exclude abstract platform meta-model artifacts from other platforms.⌋*()*

modeler.org.cn

Figure 3.1: Abstract Platform System Description in Methodology

3.2 System Model

3.2.1 Overview

As per existing system descriptions in AP and CP, an XP needs its own system description to distinguish XP content from other types of system descriptions/extracts. The basis for all AUTOSAR system descriptions/extracts is the meta-class System and as with other AUTOSAR system descriptions, the category shall be used to identify the content.

[TPS_APSD_01004]{DRAFT} **System category for a system description with Abstract Platform content** ⌈The System element that contains design artifacts that are relevant for an Abstract Platform shall have the category:

- ABSTRACT_PLATFORM_SYSTEM_DESCRIPTION.

⌋()

See A.1 for an example ARXML listing.

Figure 3.2: Modeling of an Abstract Platform System

3.2.2 Root Composition

As with other types of Systems in AP and CP, the RootSwCompositionPrototype in an XP references a CompositionSwComponentType as the root composition. With reference to [TPS_APSD_01019], this has the semantics of just a plain old composition.

Class	**System**			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SystemTemplate			
Note	The top level element of the Abstract Platform System Description. **Tags:**atp.recommendedPackage=Systems			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpFeature*, *AtpStructureElement*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
mapping	SystemMapping	*	aggr	**Stereotypes:** atpSplitable; atpVariation **Tags:** atp.Splitkey=mapping.shortName, mapping.variation Point.shortLabel vh.latestBindingTime=postBuild

▽

推荐 modeler.org.cn

△

Class	**System**			
rootSoftware Composition	RootSwComposition Prototype	0..1	aggr	Aggregation of the root software composition, containing all software components in the System in a hierarchical structure. **Stereotypes:** atpSplitable; atpVariation **Tags:** atp.Splitkey=rootSoftwareComposition.shortName, root SoftwareComposition.variationPoint.shortLabel vh.latestBindingTime=systemDesignTime
systemVersion	RevisionLabelString	1	attr	Version number of the System Description.

Table 3.1: System

Class	**RootSwCompositionPrototype**			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SystemTemplate			
Note	The RootSwCompositionPrototype represents the top-level-composition of software components within a given System. This may for example be a more or less complete VFB++ description. Therefore the RootSwComposition will only occasionally contain all atomic software components that are used in a complete VFB System. The OEM is primarily interested in the required functionality and the interfaces defining the integration of the Software Component into the System. The internal structure of such a component contains often substantial intellectual property of a supplier. Therefore a top-level software composition will often contain empty compositions which represent subsystems. The contained SwComponentPrototypes are fully specified by their SwComponentTypes (including Port Prototypes, PortInterfaces, VariableDataPrototypes, etc.).			
Base	*ARObject*, *AtpFeature*, *AtpPrototype*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *Referrable*			
Attribute	*Type*	*Mult.*	*Kind*	*Note*
software Composition	CompositionSw ComponentType	1	tref	We assume that there is exactly one top-level composition that includes all Component instances of the system **Stereotypes:** isOfType

Table 3.2: RootSwCompositionPrototype

3.3 Software Component Model

3.3.1 Overview

The XP software component model follows generally the aspects laid out in [5] chapter "'Overview::Software Components...'". The principles of reusability of SWCs and the type-prototype pattern are applicable in an XP, albeit in most cases, with a more restrictive view than the concrete platforms. An example of this more restrictive view is in the permitted types of software components shown later.

Another feature taken over from [5] is the ability to specify a hierarchy of SWCs of arbitrary complexity. In contrast to the CP software component model which specifies precise atomic SWC types with precise use cases in mind; the XP relaxes this kind of precision and targets a more generic typing of SWCs.

The XP SWC model allows a component design which does not force any intended downstream usage to the designer, but nevertheless allows a limited set of indicators [TPS_APSD_01005] to identify the intended usage of the component.

3.3.2 Component Compositions

This [TPS_APSD_01006] is no different than in AP and CP which handle encapsulation of SWCs the same. The modeling principles of compositions and encapsulation are suitably explained in [5] chapters "'Composition::Overview"' and "'Composition::SwComponentPrototype"' and do not need to be further detailed here.

[TPS_APSD_01006]{DRAFT} **Recursive component definition in an abstract platform** ⌈An abstract component design allows recursive depth-wise definition of components.⌋*()*

3.3.2.1 SwComponentPrototypes

The meta-class CompositionSwComponentType aggregates SwComponentPrototype in the role component which facilitates the modeling of an arbitrary nesting of components of SwComponentTypes. However, the XP only utilizes CompositionSwComponentTypes as the contained type.

[TPS_APSD_01019]{DRAFT} **Typing of SwComponentPrototypes used in a CompositionSwComponentType in an abstract platform** ⌈The SwComponentPrototype.type aggregated in a CompositionSwComponentType shall be CompositionSwComponentType in an abstract platform.⌋*()*

3.3.3 Component Types

In an XP, an designer should have the freedom to design a VFB++ hierarchical SWC model in a rather free-floating manner. The SWCs can be described by utilizing a subset of intended component types.

The approach is to allow a modeling of a generic type of component, CompositionSwComponentType as the generic component type. In other words, an abstract platform component is not bound to a specific use case. The CompositionSwComponentType inherits from the category attribute from Identifiable which means it can be assigned a subset of a categorys to specify the functional intent of the component.

Figure 3.3: Modeling of Abstract Platform Components

Since CompositionSwComponentType is used in this way instead of a designated AtomicSwComponentType, it means CompositionSwComponentType is used all the way down the component hierarchy tree. To distinguish between the cases where a CompositionSwComponentType is designated as an actual plain composite software component, or as a 'quasi' atomic software component the category is restricted depending on the intent.

Without [constr_6803], it is very arbitrary how to trace the usage of a component between an XP and a concrete platform - foreseeably the abstract component could only be derived by default to say an arbitrary representation in a downstream platform and it would be a pure manual step and not allow for any future automation. Usage of the category should therefore allow an architect to specify some finer detailing of the component type.

[TPS_APSD_01005]{DRAFT} **Identification of component types in an abstract platform** ⌈The abstract platform uses the category of the CompositionSwComponentType as a means to optionally identify the intended usage of the CompositionSwComponentType.⌋*()*

[constr_6803]{DRAFT} **Standarized values of CompositionSwComponentType.category** ⌈In a System with the category set to ABSTRACT_PLATFORM_SYSTEM_DESCRIPTION, any CompositionSwComponentType which is referenced by a SwComponentPrototype in the role type shall have the category set to:

- XP_COMPONENT_APPLICATION

⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01020]{DRAFT} **Semantics of a CompositionSwComponentType of category XP_COMPONENT_APPLICATION** ⌈A composition of category XP_COMPONENT_APPLICATION in an abstract platform represents an application software component.⌋*()*

See A.2 for an example ARXML listing.

Class	**CompositionSwComponentType**			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Composition			
Note	A CompositionSwComponentType aggregates SwComponentPrototypes (that in turn are typed by Sw ComponentTypes) as well as SwConnectors for primarily connecting SwComponentPrototypes among each others and towards the surface of the CompositionSwComponentType. By this means hierarchical structures of software-components can be created. **Tags:**atp.recommendedPackage=SwComponentTypes			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *AtpBlueprint*, *AtpBlueprintable*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpType*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*, *SwComponentType*			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
component	SwComponent Prototype	*	aggr	**Stereotypes:** atpSplitable; atpVariation **Tags:** atp.Splitkey=component.shortName, component.variation Point.shortLabel vh.latestBindingTime=postBuild
connector	SwConnector	*	aggr	SwConnectors have the principal ability to establish a connection among PortPrototypes. They can have many roles in the context of a CompositionSwComponentType. Details are refined by subclasses. The aggregation of SwConnectors is subject to variability with the purpose to support variant data flow. **Stereotypes:** atpSplitable; atpVariation **Tags:** atp.Splitkey=connector.shortName, connector.variation Point.shortLabel vh.latestBindingTime=postBuild

Table 3.3: CompositionSwComponentType

Class	**SwComponentPrototype**			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Composition			
Note	Role of a software component within a composition.			
Base	*ARObject*, *AtpFeature*, *AtpPrototype*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *Referrable*			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
type	SwComponentType	0..1	tref	Type of the instance. **Stereotypes:** isOfType

Table 3.4: SwComponentPrototype

Class	***SwComponentType*** (abstract)			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Components			
Note	Base class for AUTOSAR software components.			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *AtpBlueprint*, *AtpBlueprintable*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpType*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*			
Subclasses	*AtomicSwComponentType*, CompositionSwComponentType, ParameterSwComponentType			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***

▽

推荐 modeler.org.cn

△

Class	*SwComponentType* (abstract)			
port	PortPrototype	*	aggr	The PortPrototypes through which this SwComponent Type can communicate. The aggregation of PortPrototype is subject to variability with the purpose to support the conditional existence of PortPrototypes. **Stereotypes:** atpSplitable; atpVariation **Tags:** atp.Splitkey=port.shortName, port.variationPoint.short Label vh.latestBindingTime=preCompileTime
portGroup	PortGroup	*	aggr	A port group being part of this component. **Stereotypes:** atpVariation **Tags:**vh.latestBindingTime=preCompileTime
swComponent Documentation	SwComponent Documentation	0..1	aggr	This adds a documentation to the SwComponentType. **Stereotypes:** atpSplitable; atpVariation **Tags:** atp.Splitkey=swComponentDocumentation, sw ComponentDocumentation.variationPoint.shortLabel vh.latestBindingTime=preCompileTime xml.sequenceOffset=-10

Table 3.5: SwComponentType

3.3.4 Connectors

While support for modeling of port connectors in an XP entirely makes sense for certain downstream architectures, in others it doesn't. Especially for SOA based platforms it can be argued that they are superfluous - SOA middlewares typically only create the "'connection"' when the provided service is "'found"' during run time after the other side has initiated a search.

[TPS_APSD_01012]{DRAFT} **Modeling of connectors in an abstract platform** ⌈The XP allows modeling of connectors, but defers their concrete application to a downstream platform.⌋*()*

In other words, the XP is agnostic of the concrete platform, but to facilitate a usage of connectors in a concrete platform where they have real semantics, it does not prohibit their use.

The XP therefore takes over the modeling of connectors from [5] chapter "'Overview::Composition::Connectors"'.

3.3.5 Port Groups

Port grouping is fairly standard in component models, though it is really at the discretion of the model itself what the semantic meaning of a port group is. Several scenarios are possible such as limiting inclusion of discrete ports in discrete groups or allowing discrete ports to be mapped into different groups. Some models define an abstract

推荐 modeler.org.cn

port group as being a composition which may be further decomposed in a downstream platform.

[TPS_APSD_01009]{DRAFT} **Grouping of ports in an abstract platform** ⌈Assigning discrete ports to zero or more port groups shall be possible in an abstract platform.⌋*()*

3.4 Port Interfaces

3.4.1 Overview

The XP follows the same general principles laid down in [5] chapter "'Overview::Port Interface...'". The XP restricts the model to disallow that the same port is read/write.

[TPS_APSD_01007]{DRAFT} **Prototyping of ports in an abstract platform** ⌈An abstract platform port is either in the role of requirer or provider but not both.⌋*()*

Figure 3.4: Modeling of Abstract Platform Ports

3.4.2 Composite Interface

The XP does not mandate for specific types of PortInterfaces. Whereas in CP/AP, the respective VFB models in each, specify specific types of PortInterfaces for an intended functional usage, e.g.: AP ServiceInterface is intended for a SOA based deployment, the XP opts for an interface type which could be applied generically.

The XP does allow to provide some further semantics to a CompositeInterface to indicate an intended usage for a certain port via CompositeInterface.category. This serves as a hint which may be optionally considered when deriving (if it has a

semantical meaning on the downstream platform), even though the CompositeInterface itself does convey anything relating to the functional usage or underlying signaling architecture between ports [TPS_APSD_01010].

[TPS_APSD_01008]{DRAFT} **Generic typing of interfaces in an abstract platform** ⌈The abstract platform does not semantically bind types of PortInterfaces to a particular functional usage.⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01010]{DRAFT} **Agnosticism of abstract platform interfaces to middleware deployments** ⌈An abstract platform interface is agnostic of both architecture and any middleware deployment options.⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01022]{DRAFT} **Semantics of a CompositeInterface** ⌈A CompositeInterface inherits from a PortInterface and provides an functionally agnostic PortInterface type to allow data exchange between PortPrototypes.⌋*()*

[constr_6807]{DRAFT} **Exclusivity of a CompositeInterface to an Abstract Platform** ⌈A CompositeInterface shall not type a PortPrototype unless the category of the System is ABSTRACT_PLATFORM_SYSTEM_DESCRIPTION.⌋*()*

The rationale for [constr_6807] is grounded in [TPS_APSD_01003].

3.4.2.1 Elements of Composite Interface

The make-up of a CompositeInterface borrows from the approach taken in the AP ServiceInterface to allow flexibility as to the choice of how data is exchanged.[1]

It is possible that a downstream platform only supports atomic interface types, in this case, during derivation, the individual elements of a CompositeInterface must be mapped to discrete atomic interfaces. Obviously, this may have an impact on ports which would then need to be created or alternatively some facade pattern employed.

[TPS_APSD_01023]{DRAFT} **Elements of a CompositeInterface** ⌈A CompositeInterface allows the following forms of data exchange:

- a ClientServerOperation aggregated in the role command.
- a VariableDataPrototype aggregated in the role indication.

⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01024]{DRAFT} **Semantics of a CompositeInterface.command** ⌈A command is a RPC with optional function arguments, called by the requirer and executed on the side of the provider.⌋*()*

[1] An alternative approach to a CompositeInterface could be to use CP style atomic interfaces (a singular message exchange element). However, the aggregation of singular exchange elements in a CompositeInterface offers more flexibility.

[TPS_APSD_01025]{DRAFT} **Semantics of a CompositeInterface.indication** ⌈A indication is a plain block of data that shall be updated (indicated) by the provider.⌋*()*

[constr_6806]{DRAFT} **Standarized values of CompositeInterface.category** ⌈The category of a CompositeInterface can be set to either:

- XP_PORT_CTRL_SECURITY
- XP_PORT_CTRL_TIMESYNC
- XP_PORT_DATA_STORAGE
- XP_PORT_DATA_APPLICATION

⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01026]{DRAFT} **Semantics of a CompositeInterface of category XP_PORT_CTRL_SECURITY** ⌈A CompositeInterface of category XP_PORT_CTRL_SECURITY represents a control port to a security entity: e.g. a cryptographic or authentication entity.⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01027]{DRAFT} **Semantics of a CompositeInterface of category XP_PORT_CTRL_TIMESYNC** ⌈A CompositeInterface of category XP_PORT_CTRL_TIMESYNC represents a control port to a time synchronization entity: e.g. AP TimeSynchronizationInterface.⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01028]{DRAFT} **Semantics of a CompositeInterface of category XP_PORT_DATA_STORAGE** ⌈A CompositeInterface of category XP_DATA_CTRL_STORAGE represents a port to a storage entity used to hold persistent data: e.g. AP PersistencyInterface or CP NvDataInterface.⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01029]{DRAFT} **Semantics of a CompositeInterface of category XP_PORT_DATA_APPLICATION** ⌈A CompositeInterface of category XP_PORT_DATA_APPLICATION represents a general application data port: e.g. an AP ServiceInterface.⌋*()*

Figure 3.5: Modeling of Abstract Platform interfaces

Class	***PortInterface*** (abstract)			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::PortInterface			
Note	Abstract base class for an interface that is either provided or required by a port of a software component.			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *AtpBlueprint*, *AtpBlueprintable*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpType*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*			
Subclasses	ClientServerInterface, CompositeInterface, *DataInterface*, ModeSwitchInterface, TriggerInterface			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
–	–	–	–	–

Table 3.6: PortInterface

Class	**CompositeInterface**
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::AbstractPlatform
Note	This represents the ability to define a PortInterface that consists of a composition of commands and indications. **Tags:** atp.Status=draft atp.recommendedPackage=CompositeInterfaces

▽

△

Class	CompositeInterface			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *AtpBlueprint*, *AtpBlueprintable*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpType*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *PackageableElement*, *PortInterface*, *Referrable*			
Attribute	**Type**	**Mult.**	**Kind**	**Note**
command	ClientServerOperation	*	aggr	This represents the collection of commands or function calls (with optional data arguments) defined in the context of an ApplicationInterface. **Stereotypes:** atpVariation **Tags:** atp.Status=draft vh.latestBindingTime=blueprintDerivationTime
indication	VariableDataPrototype	*	aggr	This represents the collection of indication or events (with optional data argument) defined in the context of an ApplicationInterface. **Stereotypes:** atpVariation **Tags:** atp.Status=draft vh.latestBindingTime=blueprintDerivationTime

Table 3.7: CompositeInterface

Class	ClientServerOperation			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::PortInterface			
Note	A remote procedure call declared within the scope of the current interface.			
Base	*ARObject*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpFeature*, *AtpStructureElement*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *Referrable*			
Attribute	**Type**	**Mult.**	**Kind**	**Note**
argument (ordered)	ArgumentDataPrototype	*	aggr	An argument of this ClientServerOperation **Stereotypes:** atpVariation **Tags:**vh.latestBindingTime=blueprintDerivationTime

Table 3.8: ClientServerOperation

Class	VariableDataPrototype			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Datatype::DataPrototypes			
Note	A VariableDataPrototype is used to contain arbitrary values in a software component. In particular, the value of a VariableDataPrototype is likely to change over its lifetime.			
Base	*ARObject*, *AtpFeature*, *AtpPrototype*, *AutosarDataPrototype*, *DataPrototype*, *Identifiable*, *Multilanguage Referrable*, *Referrable*			
Attribute	**Type**	**Mult.**	**Kind**	**Note**
initValue	ValueSpecification	0..1	aggr	Specifies initial value(s) of the VariableDataPrototype

Table 3.9: VariableDataPrototype

Class	ArgumentDataPrototype
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::PortInterface
Note	An argument of an operation, much like a data element, but also carries direction information and is owned by a particular ClientServerOperation.

▽

推荐 modeler.org.cn

△

Class	ArgumentDataPrototype			
Base	ARObject, AtpFeature, AtpPrototype, AutosarDataPrototype, DataPrototype, Identifiable, Multilanguage Referrable, Referrable			
Attribute	**Type**	**Mult.**	**Kind**	**Note**
direction	ArgumentDirection Enum	0..1	attr	This attribute specifies the direction of the argument prototype.

Table 3.10: ArgumentDataPrototype

3.5 Data Types

3.5.1 Overview

The XP *partially* takes over the AUTOSAR data typing model and principles defined in [5] chapter "'Data Description"'. With reference to [TPS_SWCT_01229] and the table "'Abstraction Levels for Describing Data", only the *Application Data Level* shall be used.

The XP is concerned with a modeling of high-level data types and attributes of data types like the physical meaning of a data type. XP data types are not concerned with implementation or platform level data types; it is expected that these are fully in the domain of a concrete platform.

[TPS_APSD_01014]{DRAFT} **Allowed data types in an abstract platform** ⌈The abstract platform allows deferral of data typing or data typing using:

- integrals in the form of `category=VALUE`
- structures in the form of `category=STRUCTURE`
- arrays in the form of `category=ARRAY`
- strings in the form of `category=STRING`
- booleans in the form of `category=BOOLEAN`

⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01013]{DRAFT} **Usage of application level data types** ⌈Data typing in the abstract platform uses the AUTOSAR application level data types.⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01030]{DRAFT} **Exclusion of implementation level data types** ⌈The abstract platform does not support modeling of implementation level data types.⌋*()*

3.5.2 Properties of Data Definitions

The properties of data definitions from [5] chapter "'Data Description::Properties of Data Definitions"' also apply in XP. However, due to the reduced subset of supported

modeler.org.cn

category s of ApplicationDataTypes (see 3.5.3), the list of SwDataDefProps attributes is therefore also constrained respectively.

The semantical meaning of those attributes defined in Table 3.11 is specified in [5] chapter "'Data Description::Elements used in Properties of Data Definitions"'.

[constr_6812]{DRAFT} **SwDataDefProps applicable to ApplicationDataTypes exclusive to the abstract platform** ⌈A complete list of the allowed SwDataDefProps attributes and their multiplicities which are allowed for a given category is shown in table 3.11.⌋*()*

Attributes of SwDataDefProps	Root Elem.				Attribute Existence per Category				
	ApplicationDataType	ApplicationDeferredDataType	ApplicationRecordElement	ApplicationArrayElement	VALUE	STRUCTURE	ARRAY	STRING	BOOLEAN
annotation	x	x	x	x	*	*	*	*	*
compuMethod	x				0..1				0..1
dataConstr.dataConstrRule.physConstrs	x		x	x	0..1		0..1		0..1
dataConstr.dataConstrRule.internalConstrs	x		x	x	d/c[2]		d/c		d/c
displayFormat	x		x	x	0..1		0..1	0..1	0..1
invalidValue	x				0..1			0..1	0..1
swTextProps	x							1	
unit	x				0..1			0..1	0..1
Other Attributes below the Root Element									
element: ApplicationRecordElement	x		x	x		1..*			
element: ApplicationArrayElement	x		x	x			1		
ApplicationArrayElement.arraySizeSemantics	x						0..1		
ApplicationArrayElement.maxNumberOfElements	x						1		

Table 3.11: Allowed Attributes vs. category for ApplicationDataTypes

[2] don't care

Class	<<atpVariation>> **SwDataDefProps**			
Package	M2::MSR::DataDictionary::DataDefProperties			
Note	This class is a collection of properties relevant for data objects under various aspects. One could consider this class as a "pattern of inheritance by aggregation". The properties can be applied to all objects of all classes in which SwDataDefProps is aggregated. **Tags:**vh.latestBindingTime=codeGenerationTime			
Base	*ARObject*			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
annotation	Annotation	*	aggr	This aggregation allows to add annotations (yellow pads ...) related to the current data object. **Tags:** xml.roleElement=true xml.roleWrapperElement=true xml.sequenceOffset=20 xml.typeElement=false xml.typeWrapperElement=false
compuMethod	CompuMethod	0..1	ref	Computation method associated with the semantics of this data object. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=180
dataConstr	DataConstr	0..1	ref	Data constraint for this data object. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=190
displayFormat	DisplayFormatString	0..1	attr	This property describes how a number is to be rendered e.g. in documents or in a measurement and calibration system. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=210
invalidValue	ValueSpecification	0..1	aggr	Optional value to express invalidity of the actual data element. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=255
swTextProps	SwTextProps	0..1	aggr	the specific properties if the data object is a text object. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=120
unit	Unit	0..1	ref	Physical unit associated with the semantics of this data object. This attribute applies if no compuMethod is specified. If both units (this as well as via compuMethod) are specified the units shall be compatible. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=350

Table 3.12: SwDataDefProps

3.5.3 Data Type Categories

The basis for allowed application data types in an `XP` are those application data types cited in AUTOSAR Software-Component Template [5] chapter "'Data Types::Data Categories"' - but not all `category`s of `ApplicationDataType` are supported in `XP`.

[constr_6810] Applicable categories for data types in an abstract platform ⌈Table 3.13 defines the applicable data type `category`s relating to applicable meta-model classes.⌋*()*

Category	Applicable to ...							Description
	ApplicationDataType	ApplicationDeferredDataType	ApplicationArrayDataType	ApplicationRecordDataType	ApplicationPrimitiveDataType	ApplicationRecordElement	ApplicationArrayElement	
VALUE					x	x	x	Contains a single value.
STRUCTURE				x		x	x	Holds one or several further elements which can have different AutosarDataTypes.
STRING					x	x	x	Contains a single value interpreted as a text string (note that it appears as a single value for the application domain).
ARRAY			x			x	x	A fixed-sized array of sub-elements of the same type.
BOOLEAN					x	x	x	Contains a single boolean (true/false) state.

Table 3.13: Usage of category for Data Types

Figure 3.6: Modeling of Abstract Platform data types

Class	***AutosarDataType*** (abstract)			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Datatype::Datatypes			
Note	Abstract base class for user defined AUTOSAR data types for software.			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpType*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*			
Subclasses	*AbstractImplementationDataType*, *ApplicationDataType*			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
swDataDefProps	SwDataDefProps	0..1	aggr	The properties of this AutosarDataType.

Table 3.14: AutosarDataType

Class	***ApplicationDataType*** (abstract)			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Datatype::Datatypes			
Note	ApplicationDataType defines a data type from the application point of view. Especially it should be used whenever something "physical" is at stake. An ApplicationDataType represents a set of values as seen in the application model, such as measurement units. It does not consider implementation details such as bit-size, endianess, etc. It should be possible to model the application level aspects of a VFB system by using ApplicationDataTypes only.			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *AtpBlueprint*, *AtpBlueprintable*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpType*, *AutosarDataType*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*			
Subclasses	*ApplicationCompositeDataType*, ApplicationDeferredDataType, ApplicationPrimitiveDataType			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
–	–	–	–	–

Table 3.15: ApplicationDataType

Class	**ApplicationPrimitiveDataType**			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Datatype::Datatypes			
Note	A primitive data type defines a set of allowed values. **Tags:**atp.recommendedPackage=ApplicationDataTypes			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *ApplicationDataType*, *AtpBlueprint*, *AtpBlueprintable*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpType*, *AutosarDataType*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
–	–	–	–	–

Table 3.16: ApplicationPrimitiveDataType

Class	***ApplicationCompositeDataType*** (abstract)
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Datatype::Datatypes
Note	Abstract base class for all application data types composed of other data types.
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *ApplicationDataType*, *AtpBlueprint*, *AtpBlueprintable*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpType*, *AutosarDataType*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*
Subclasses	ApplicationArrayDataType, ApplicationRecordDataType

▽

推荐 modeler.org.cn

△

Class	***ApplicationCompositeDataType*** (abstract)			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
–	–	–	–	–

Table 3.17: ApplicationCompositeDataType

Class	**ApplicationRecordDataType**			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Datatype::Datatypes			
Note	An application data type which can be decomposed into prototypes of other application data types. **Tags:**atp.recommendedPackage=ApplicationDataTypes			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *ApplicationCompositeDataType*, *ApplicationDataType*, *AtpBlueprint*, *Atp Blueprintable*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpType*, *AutosarDataType*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *Multilanguage Referrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
element (ordered)	ApplicationRecord Element	*	aggr	Specifies an element of a record. The aggregation of ApplicationRecordElement is subject to variability with the purpose to support the conditional existence of elements inside a ApplicationrecordData Type. **Stereotypes:** atpVariation **Tags:**vh.latestBindingTime=preCompileTime

Table 3.18: ApplicationRecordDataType

Class	**ApplicationArrayDataType**			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Datatype::Datatypes			
Note	An application data type which is an array, each element is of the same application data type. **Tags:**atp.recommendedPackage=ApplicationDataTypes			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *ApplicationCompositeDataType*, *ApplicationDataType*, *AtpBlueprint*, *Atp Blueprintable*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpType*, *AutosarDataType*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *Multilanguage Referrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
dynamicArray SizeProfile	String	0..1	attr	Specifies the profile which the array will follow if it is a variable size array.
element	ApplicationArray Element	0..1	aggr	This association implements the concept of an array element. That is, in some cases it is necessary to be able to identify single array elements, e.g. as input values for an interpolation routine.

Table 3.19: ApplicationArrayDataType

3.5.4 Application Data Types

The XP is targeting a platform independent design of data exchange between SWCs (applications). To keep to the underlying goal of remaining independent of concrete platform implementation details, a description of the used data types in the XP is therefore naturally limited to application data types.

The AUTOSAR data type model starts with AutosarDataType. The meta-class AutosarDataType inherits from Identifiable which provides the identifying attributes needed: longName, shortName. The category is then used to identify the underlying category of application level data type.

3.5.5 Sub-classes of ApplicationDataType

The XP supports the sub-classes in the table in [constr_6810]. Partially those sub-classes are re-used from [5] chapter "'Data Types::Application Data Type"' and are thus defined there. The sub-classes defined purely by the XP are detailed here.

[TPS_APSD_01031]{DRAFT} **Sub-classes of ApplicationDataType** ⌈In an abstract platform, the abstract meta-class ApplicationDataType is sub-classed into:

- ApplicationDeferredDataType

⌋*()*

These XP specific sub-classes are detailed in the following sections.

3.5.5.1 Deferred Data Type

Due to the fact that a data type may not *yet* be known in the XP, or shall be defined later in the design in a downstream stage, XP typing can be deferred with the proviso that it shall be concretely defined during derivation to a concrete platform or mapping to a implementation data type.

This is done using the XP exclusive type called ApplicationDeferredDataType. Fully usable in an XP, together with their properties (Table 3.11).

[TPS_APSD_01015]{DRAFT} **Deferral of the category of data type in an abstract platform** ⌈The abstract platform provides a non-committal data type ApplicationDeferredDataType to allow deferral of an actual data type to a later stage.⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01032]{DRAFT} **Semantics of an ApplicationDeferredDataType** ⌈An ApplicationDeferredDataType represents a placeholder, Identifiable within a model, but having no actual applicable category of data type.⌋*()*

As mentioned previously in 3.5.3, AUTOSAR ApplicationDataTypes are assigned a category value from the Table 3.13. The ApplicationDeferredDataType however is an exception to this rule since it has no concrete type yet.

It is therefore necessary to exclude any assignment of categorys of type in a model [constr_6814]. Further to that, no properties of data definitions are assigned to ApplicationDeferredDataType which would convey in any way concrete data type characteristics [constr_6812]. In other words, the list of attributes is deliberately very constrained in order to be agnostic of concrete data typing.

推荐 modeler.org.cn

[constr_6814]{DRAFT} **Restriction of ApplicationDeferredDataType.category** ⌈The category of an ApplicationDeferredDataType shall be unassigned/undefined.⌋*()*

Class	**ApplicationDeferredDataType**			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::AbstractPlatform			
Note	An abstract placeholder data type in which the precise application data type is deferred to a later stage. **Tags:** atp.Status=draft atp.recommendedPackage=ApplicationDataTypes			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *ApplicationDataType*, *AtpBlueprint*, *AtpBlueprintable*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpType*, *AutosarDataType*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*			
Attribute	*Type*	*Mult.*	*Kind*	*Note*
–	–	–	–	–

Table 3.20: ApplicationDeferredDataType

3.5.6 Type Tracing

As mentioned previously, the XP is not concerned with those data types below the level of ApplicationDataTypes. Data type tracing between an XP and a concrete platform model must be done on the same level - in the context of AUTOSAR, that means tracing only between ApplicationDataTypes in XP/CP/AP as shown in Figure 3.7.

Figure 3.7: Permitted data type tracing

Any usage of XP ApplicationDataTypes in a CP or CP is not allowed (Figure 3.7 *left*). Any indirect tracing between XP ApplicationDataTypes and either CP AbstractImplementationDataTypes or AP AbstractImplementationDataTypes is not supported (Figure 3.7 *right*).

Figure 3.8: Prohibited data type tracing

[TPS_APSD_01036]{DRAFT} **Data type tracing between abstract and concrete platform models** ⌈Tracing of data types between abstract and concrete platform models is solely on the level of `ApplicationDataType`s.⌋*()*

For a model based example view on tracing see chapter A.3. The remainder of this section details any aspects to consider when tracing (deriving/abstracting) `XP` specific sub-classes of `ApplicationDataType`s (listed by sub-chapter here).

3.5.6.1 Deferred Data Type

Since an `ApplicationDeferredDataType` is basically a placeholder type, and holds no concrete data type properties, it is straightforward to trace this type between an abstract and concrete platform.

[TPS_APSD_01037]{DRAFT} **Compatibility of an `ApplicationDeferredDataType`** ⌈During tracing, the `ApplicationDeferredDataType` provides *none*, and the concrete platform type provides *all* of the aspects of necessary typing.⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01016]{DRAFT} **Concrete data type resolution of an `ApplicationDeferredDataType`** ⌈The precise data typing of a `ApplicationDeferredDataType` is not required until the methodology step before, or latest during:

- derivation of `ApplicationDataType`s defined in the context of an `XP`, to corresponding `ApplicationDataType`s defined in the context of either a `CP` or an `AP`.
- derivation of `ApplicationDataType`s defined in the context of an `XP`, to a corresponding domain specific representation in a non-AUTOSAR platform.

⌋*()*

[TPS_APSD_01033]{DRAFT} **Traceability of an `ApplicationDeferredDataType`** ⌈If the concrete platform is:

- an AUTOSAR platform: an `ApplicationDeferredDataType` can be traced to any of the supported `ApplicationDataType`s on the concrete platform.
- a non-AUTOSAR platform: tracing is domain specific.

⌋()

4 Requirements

4.1 Overview

The AUTOSAR meta-model already provides a healthy set of meta-classes for the topic of requirements in the AUTOSAR Standardization Template [1] [TPS_STDT_00060]. For requirements engineering (annotation, documentation, rationalization, traceability) in an XP, they can be directly applied.

The XP allows requirement engineering to be performed within the context of an XP system description. A top-level requirement can be added which can be recursively broken-down (decomposed) into N x *child* level requirements and annotated to an XP description.

It is at the discretion of the designer how and when to do this step and to decide when the current decomposition level is sufficient. During the concrete platform implementation stage a developer would then implement according to the requirements.There are no restrictions on what a requirement is, nor on the number of decompositions of a requirement. The meta-class StructuredReq may be reused directly for requirement specification.

[TPS_APSD_01034]{DRAFT} **Requirement annotation and in an abstract platform** ⌈An abstract platform description supports recursive depths of requirements annotation, decomposition.⌋*()*

For a detailed description of AUTOSAR's support for traceability of all kinds refer to [4] chapter "'Documentation Support"'.

A Examples

This chapter contains a collection of examples that reflect concepts described in different chapters of this document. The content of the chapter provides mere explanation and does not add anything to the model semantics.

A.1 System

The listing in A.1 illustrates the definition of a `System` to describe an abstract platform.

Listing A.1: Example ARXML for abstract platform system

```
<SYSTEM>
  <SHORT-NAME>MySystem</SHORT-NAME>
  <CATEGORY>ABSTRACT_PLATFORM_SYSTEM_DESCRIPTION</CATEGORY>
  <ROOT-SOFTWARE-COMPOSITIONS>
    <ROOT-SW-COMPOSITION-PROTOTYPE>
    <SHORT-NAME>MyRootSwComposition</SHORT-NAME>
    <SOFTWARE-COMPOSITION-TREF DEST="COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE">/
       ARDesign/SystemDesign/MyTopLevelComposition</SOFTWARE-COMPOSITION-
       TREF>
    </ROOT-SW-COMPOSITION-PROTOTYPE>
  </ROOT-SOFTWARE-COMPOSITIONS>
  <SYSTEM-VERSION>0.1.0</SYSTEM-VERSION>
</SYSTEM>
```

A.2 Component hierarchy

The listing in A.2 illustrates the usage of `CompositionSwComponentType` to define a hierarchy of components.

Listing A.2: Example ARXML for abstract software components

```
<AR-PACKAGE>
  <SHORT-NAME>Components</SHORT-NAME>
  <AR-PACKAGES>
    <AR-PACKAGE>
      <SHORT-NAME>RadarFusionUnit</SHORT-NAME>
      <AR-PACKAGES>
        <AR-PACKAGE>
          <SHORT-NAME>components</SHORT-NAME>
          <ELEMENTS>
            <COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE>
              <SHORT-NAME>Unit</SHORT-NAME>
              <COMPONENTS>
                <SW-COMPONENT-PROTOTYPE>
                  <SHORT-NAME>radar</SHORT-NAME>
                  <TYPE-TREF DEST="COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE">/ARDesign
                     /Components/RadarFusionUnit/components/UnitRadar</TYPE
                     -TREF>
```

```
                </SW-COMPONENT-PROTOTYPE>
                <SW-COMPONENT-PROTOTYPE>
                  <SHORT-NAME>camera</SHORT-NAME>
                  <TYPE-TREF DEST="COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE">/ARDesign
                      /Components/RadarFusionUnit/components/UnitCamera</
                      TYPE-TREF>
                </SW-COMPONENT-PROTOTYPE>
              </COMPONENTS>
            </COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE>
            <COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE>
              <SHORT-NAME>UnitRadar</SHORT-NAME>
              <COMPONENTS>
                <SW-COMPONENT-PROTOTYPE>
                  <SHORT-NAME>app</SHORT-NAME>
                  <TYPE-TREF DEST="COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE">/ARDesign
                      /Components/RadarFusionUnit/components/UnitRadarApp</
                      TYPE-TREF>
                </SW-COMPONENT-PROTOTYPE>
              </COMPONENTS>
            </COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE>
            <COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE>
              <SHORT-NAME>UnitCamera</SHORT-NAME>
              <CATEGORY>XP_COMPONENT_APPLICATION</CATEGORY>
              <COMPONENTS>
                <SW-COMPONENT-PROTOTYPE>
                  <SHORT-NAME>app</SHORT-NAME>
                  <TYPE-TREF DEST="COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE">/ARDesign
                      /Components/RadarFusionUnit/components/UnitCameraApp</
                      TYPE-TREF>
                </SW-COMPONENT-PROTOTYPE>
                <SW-COMPONENT-PROTOTYPE>
                  <SHORT-NAME>sensor</SHORT-NAME>
                  <TYPE-TREF DEST="COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE">/ARDesign
                      /Components/RadarFusionUnit/components/
                      UnitCameraSensor</TYPE-TREF>
                </SW-COMPONENT-PROTOTYPE>
              </COMPONENTS>
            </COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE>
            <COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE>
              <SHORT-NAME>UnitCameraSensor</SHORT-NAME>
              <CATEGORY>XP_COMPONENT_APPLICATION</CATEGORY>
            </COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE>
            <COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE>
              <SHORT-NAME>UnitCameraApp</SHORT-NAME>
              <CATEGORY>XP_COMPONENT_APPLICATION</CATEGORY>
            </COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE>
            <COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE>
              <SHORT-NAME>UnitRadarApp</SHORT-NAME>
              <CATEGORY>XP_COMPONENT_APPLICATION</CATEGORY>
            </COMPOSITION-SW-COMPONENT-TYPE>
          </ELEMENTS>
        </AR-PACKAGE>
      </AR-PACKAGES>
    </AR-PACKAGE>
  </AR-PACKAGES>
</AR-PACKAGE>
```

A.3 Data type tracing

The model example A.1 illustrates a meta-model view on tracing between an XP and a CP/AP.

Figure A.1: Data type tracing

推荐 modeler.org.cn

B Mentioned Class Tables

For the sake of completeness, this chapter contains a set of class tables representing meta-classes mentioned in the context of this document but which are not contained directly in the scope of describing specific meta-model semantics.

Class	***AbstractImplementationDataType*** (abstract)			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::CommonStructure::ImplementationDataTypes			
Note	This meta-class represents an abstract base class for different flavors of ImplementationDataType.			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *AtpBlueprint*, *AtpBlueprintable*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpType*, *AutosarDataType*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*			
Subclasses	ImplementationDataType			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
–	–	–	–	–

Table B.1: AbstractImplementationDataType

Class	**ApplicationArrayElement**			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Datatype::DataPrototypes			
Note	Describes the properties of the elements of an application array data type.			
Base	*ARObject*, *ApplicationCompositeElementDataPrototype*, *AtpFeature*, *AtpPrototype*, *DataPrototype*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *Referrable*			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
arraySize Handling	ArraySizeHandling Enum	0..1	attr	The way how the size of the array is handled.
arraySize Semantics	ArraySizeSemantics Enum	0..1	attr	This attribute controls how the information about the array size shall be interpreted.
indexDataType	ApplicationPrimitive DataType	0..1	ref	This reference can be taken to assign a CompuMethod of category TEXTTABLE to the array. The texttable entries associate a textual value to an index number such that the element with that index number is represented by a symbolic name.
maxNumberOf Elements	PositiveInteger	0..1	attr	The maximum number of elements that the array can contain. **Stereotypes:** atpVariation **Tags:**vh.latestBindingTime=preCompileTime

Table B.2: ApplicationArrayElement

Class	**ApplicationRecordElement**			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Datatype::DataPrototypes			
Note	Describes the properties of one particular element of an application record data type.			
Base	*ARObject*, *ApplicationCompositeElementDataPrototype*, *AtpFeature*, *AtpPrototype*, *DataPrototype*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *Referrable*			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***

▽

推荐 modeler.org.cn

△

Class	**ApplicationRecordElement**			
isOptional	Boolean	0..1	attr	This attribute represents the ability to declare the enclosing ApplicationRecordElement as optional. This means the that, at runtime, the ApplicationRecord Element may or may not have a valid value and shall therefore be ignored. The underlying runtime software provides means to set the ApplicationRecordElement as not valid at the sending end of a communication and determine its validity at the receiving end.

Table B.3: ApplicationRecordElement

Class	***AtomicSwComponentType*** (abstract)			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Components			
Note	An atomic software component is atomic in the sense that it cannot be further decomposed and distributed across multiple ECUs.			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *AtpBlueprint*, *AtpBlueprintable*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpType*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*, *SwComponentType*			
Subclasses	ApplicationSwComponentType, ComplexDeviceDriverSwComponentType, EcuAbstractionSwComponent Type, NvBlockSwComponentType, SensorActuatorSwComponentType, ServiceProxySwComponent Type, ServiceSwComponentType			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
internalBehavior	SwcInternalBehavior	0..1	aggr	The SwcInternalBehaviors owned by an AtomicSw ComponentType can be located in a different physical file. Therefore the aggregation is <<atpSplitable>>. **Stereotypes:** atpSplitable; atpVariation **Tags:** atp.Splitkey=internalBehavior.shortName, internal Behavior.variationPoint.shortLabel vh.latestBindingTime=preCompileTime
symbolProps	SymbolProps	0..1	aggr	This represents the SymbolProps for the AtomicSw ComponentType. **Stereotypes:** atpSplitable **Tags:**atp.Splitkey=symbolProps.shortName

Table B.4: AtomicSwComponentType

Class	**DataConstr**			
Package	M2::MSR::AsamHdo::Constraints::GlobalConstraints			
Note	This meta-class represents the ability to specify constraints on data. **Tags:**atp.recommendedPackage=DataConstrs			
Base	*ARElement*, *ARObject*, *AtpBlueprint*, *AtpBlueprintable*, *CollectableElement*, *Identifiable*, *Multilanguage Referrable*, *PackageableElement*, *Referrable*			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***

▽

推荐 modeler.org.cn

△

Class	DataConstr			
dataConstrRule	DataConstrRule	*	aggr	This is one particular rule within the data constraints. **Tags:** xml.roleElement=true xml.roleWrapperElement=true xml.sequenceOffset=30 xml.typeElement=false xml.typeWrapperElement=false

Table B.5: DataConstr

Class	DataConstrRule			
Package	M2::MSR::AsamHdo::Constraints::GlobalConstraints			
Note	This meta-class represents the ability to express one specific data constraint rule.			
Base	*ARObject*			
Attribute	**Type**	**Mult.**	**Kind**	**Note**
constrLevel	Integer	0..1	attr	This attribute describes the category of a constraint. One of its functions is in the area of constraint violation, where it can be used from a certain level, to produce error messages. The lower the level, the more stringent the check. Used to distinguish hard or soft limits. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=20
internalConstrs	InternalConstrs	0..1	aggr	Describes the limitations applicable on the internal domain (as opposed to the physical domain). **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=40
physConstrs	PhysConstrs	0..1	aggr	Describes the limitations applicable on the physical domain (as opposed to the internal domain). **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=30

Table B.6: DataConstrRule

Class	***Identifiable*** (abstract)
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::GenericStructure::GeneralTemplateClasses::Identifiable
Note	Instances of this class can be referred to by their identifier (within the namespace borders). In addition to this, Identifiables are objects which contribute significantly to the overall structure of an AUTOSAR description. In particular, Identifiables might contain Identifiables.
Base	*ARObject*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *Referrable*
Subclasses	ARPackage, *AbstractDoIpLogicAddressProps*, *AbstractEvent*, *AbstractImplementationDataTypeElement*, *AbstractSecurityEventFilter*, *AbstractSecurityIdsmInstanceFilter*, *AbstractServiceInstance*, Application Endpoint, ApplicationError, *AtpBlueprint*, *AtpBlueprintable*, *AtpClassifier*, *AtpFeature*, AutosarOperation ArgumentInstance, AutosarVariableInstance, BlockState, *BuildActionEntity*, BuildActionEnvironment, Chapter, ClassContentConditional, ClientIdDefinition, ClientServerOperation, Code, *CollectableElement*, ComManagementMapping, *CommConnectorPort*, *CommunicationConnector*, *CommunicationController*, Compiler, ConsistencyNeeds, ConsumedEventGroup, CouplingPort, *CouplingPortStructuralElement*, *CryptoServiceMapping*, DataPrototypeGroup, DataTransformation, DependencyOnArtifact, *DiagEvent DebounceAlgorithm*, DiagnosticConnectedIndicator, DiagnosticDataElement, DiagnosticFunctionInhibit Source, *DiagnosticRoutineSubfunction*, DltArgument, DltLogChannel, DltMessage, DoIpInterface, DoIp LogicAddress, DoIpRoutingActivation, EndToEndProtection, EthernetWakeupSleepOnDatalineConfig, ExclusiveArea, *ExecutableEntity*, *ExecutionTime*, FMAttributeDef, FMFeatureMapAssertion, FMFeature MapCondition, FMFeatureMapElement, FMFeatureRelation, FMFeatureRestriction, FMFeatureSelection, ▽

▽

△

Class	***Identifiable*** (abstract)			
	△ *FrameTriggering*, GeneralParameter, GlobalTimeGateway, *GlobalTimeMaster*, *GlobalTimeSlave*, *Heap Usage*, HwAttributeDef, HwAttributeLiteralDef, HwPin, HwPinGroup, IPSecRule, IPv6ExtHeaderFilter List, ISignalToIPduMapping, ISignalTriggering, *IdentCaption*, InternalTriggeringPoint, Keyword, LifeCycle State, Linker, MacMulticastGroup, McDataInstance, MemorySection, ModeDeclaration, ModeDeclaration Mapping, ModeSwitchPoint, NetworkEndpoint, *NmCluster*, *NmNode*, *PackageableElement*, Parameter Access, PduToFrameMapping, PduTriggering, *PhysicalChannel*, PortGroup, *PortInterfaceMapping*, PossibleErrorReaction, ResourceConsumption, RootSwCompositionPrototype, RptComponent, Rpt Container, RptExecutableEntity, RptExecutableEntityEvent, RptExecutionContext, RptProfile, RptService Point, *SdgAttribute*, SdgClass, SecureCommunicationAuthenticationProps, SecureCommunication FreshnessProps, SecurityEventContextProps, *ServiceNeeds*, SignalServiceTranslationEventProps, SignalServiceTranslationProps, SocketAddress, SomeipTpChannel, *SpecElementReference*, *Stack Usage*, StaticSocketConnection, StructuredReq, SwGenericAxisParamType, SwServiceArg, SwcService Dependency, SystemMapping, *TimeBaseResource*, TimingCondition, *TimingConstraint*, *Timing Description*, TimingExtensionResource, TimingModeInstance, Topic1, TpAddress, TraceableTable, TraceableText, *TracedFailure*, *TransformationProps*, TransformationTechnology, Trigger, VariableAccess, VariationPointProxy, ViewMap, VlanConfig			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
adminData	AdminData	0..1	aggr	This represents the administrative data for the identifiable object. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=-40
annotation	Annotation	*	aggr	Possibility to provide additional notes while defining a model element (e.g. the ECU Configuration Parameter Values). These are not intended as documentation but are mere design notes. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=-25
category	CategoryString	0..1	attr	The category is a keyword that specializes the semantics of the Identifiable. It affects the expected existence of attributes and the applicability of constraints. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=-50
desc	MultiLanguageOverview Paragraph	0..1	aggr	This represents a general but brief (one paragraph) description what the object in question is about. It is only one paragraph! Desc is intended to be collected into overview tables. This property helps a human reader to identify the object in question. More elaborate documentation, (in particular how the object is built or used) should go to "introduction". **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=-60
introduction	DocumentationBlock	0..1	aggr	This represents more information about how the object in question is built or is used. Therefore it is a DocumentationBlock. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=-30
uuid	String	0..1	attr	The purpose of this attribute is to provide a globally unique identifier for an instance of a meta-class. The values of this attribute should be globally unique strings prefixed by the type of identifier. For example, to include a DCE UUID as defined by The Open Group, the UUID would be preceded by "DCE:". The values of this attribute may be used to support merging of different AUTOSAR models. The form of the UUID (Universally Unique Identifier) is taken from a standard defined by the Open Group (was Open Software Foundation). This standard is widely used, including by Microsoft for COM (GUIDs) and by many companies for DCE, which is based on CORBA. The method for generating these 128-bit IDs is published ▽

▽

推荐 modeler.org.cn

△

Class	***Identifiable*** (abstract)			
				△ in the standard and the effectiveness and uniqueness of the IDs is not in practice disputed. If the id namespace is omitted, DCE is assumed. An example is "DCE:2fac1234-31f8-11b4-a222-08002b34c003". The uuid attribute has no semantic meaning for an AUTOSAR model and there is no requirement for AUTOSAR tools to manage the timestamp. **Tags:**xml.attribute=true

Table B.7: Identifiable

Class	***MultilanguageReferrable*** (abstract)			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::GenericStructure::GeneralTemplateClasses::Identifiable			
Note	Instances of this class can be referred to by their identifier (while adhering to namespace borders). They also may have a longName. But they are not considered to contribute substantially to the overall structure of an AUTOSAR description. In particular it does not contain other Referrables.			
Base	*ARObject*, *Referrable*			
Subclasses	Caption, DefItem, DocumentationContext, *Identifiable*, SdgCaption, *TraceReferrable*, *Traceable*			
Attribute	**Type**	**Mult.**	**Kind**	**Note**
longName	MultilanguageLong Name	0..1	aggr	This specifies the long name of the object. Long name is targeted to human readers and acts like a headline.

Table B.8: MultilanguageReferrable

Class	***PortPrototype*** (abstract)			
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::SWComponentTemplate::Components			
Note	Base class for the ports of an AUTOSAR software component. The aggregation of PortPrototypes is subject to variability with the purpose to support the conditional existence of ports.			
Base	*ARObject*, *AtpBlueprintable*, *AtpFeature*, *AtpPrototype*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *Referrable*			
Subclasses	*AbstractProvidedPortPrototype*, *AbstractRequiredPortPrototype*			
Attribute	**Type**	**Mult.**	**Kind**	**Note**
–	–	–	–	–

Table B.9: PortPrototype

Class	***Referrable*** (abstract)
Package	M2::AUTOSARTemplates::GenericStructure::GeneralTemplateClasses::Identifiable
Note	Instances of this class can be referred to by their identifier (while adhering to namespace borders).
Base	*ARObject*
Subclasses	*AtpDefinition*, BswDistinguishedPartition, *BswModuleCallPoint*, BswModuleClientServerEntry, Bsw VariableAccess, CouplingPortTrafficClassAssignment, DiagnosticDebounceAlgorithmProps, *Diagnostic EnvModeElement*, EthernetPriorityRegeneration, EventHandler, ExclusiveAreaNestingOrder, *Hw DescriptionEntity*, *ImplementationProps*, LinSlaveConfigIdent, ModeTransition, *MultilanguageReferrable*, PduActivationRoutingGroup, PncMappingIdent, *SingleLanguageReferrable*, SoConIPduIdentifier, Socket ConnectionBundle, TimeSyncServerConfiguration, TpConnectionIdent

▽

推荐 modeler.org.cn

△

Class	***Referrable*** (abstract)			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
shortName	Identifier	1	attr	This specifies an identifying shortName for the object. It needs to be unique within its context and is intended for humans but even more for technical reference. **Stereotypes:** atpIdentityContributor **Tags:** xml.enforceMinMultiplicity=true xml.sequenceOffset=-100
shortName Fragment	ShortNameFragment	*	aggr	This specifies how the Referrable.shortName is composed of several shortNameFragments. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=-90

Table B.10: Referrable

Class	**StructuredReq**			
Package	M2::MSR::Documentation::BlockElements::RequirementsTracing			
Note	This represents a structured requirement. This is intended for a case where specific requirements for features are collected. Note that this can be rendered as a labeled list.			
Base	*ARObject*, *DocumentViewSelectable*, *Identifiable*, *MultilanguageReferrable*, *Paginateable*, *Referrable*, *Traceable*			
Attribute	***Type***	***Mult.***	***Kind***	***Note***
appliesTo	standardNameEnum	*	attr	This attribute represents the platform the requirement is assigned to. **Tags:** xml.namePlural=APPLIES-TO-DEPENDENCIES xml.sequenceOffset=25
conflicts	DocumentationBlock	0..1	aggr	This represents an informal specification of conflicts. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=40
date	DateTime	1	attr	This represents the date when the requirement was initiated. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=5
dependencies	DocumentationBlock	0..1	aggr	This represents an informal specifiaction of dependencies. Note that upstream tracing should be formalized in the property trace provided by the superclass Traceable. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=30
description	DocumentationBlock	0..1	aggr	Ths represents the general description of the requirement. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=10
importance	String	1	attr	This allows to represent the importance of the requirement. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=8
issuedBy	String	1	attr	This represents the person, organization or authority which issued the requirement. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=6
rationale	DocumentationBlock	0..1	aggr	This represents the rationale of the requirement. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=20

▽

推荐 modeler.org.cn

△

Class	**StructuredReq**			
remark	DocumentationBlock	0..1	aggr	This represents an informal remark. Note that this is not modeled as annotation, since these remark is still essential part of the requirement. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=60
supporting Material	DocumentationBlock	0..1	aggr	This represents an informal specifiaction of the supporting material. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=50
testedItem	Traceable	*	ref	This assocation represents the ability to trace on the same specification level. This supports for example the of acceptance tests. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=70
type	String	1	attr	This attribute allows to denote the type of requirement to denote for example is it an "enhancement", "new feature" etc. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=7
useCase	DocumentationBlock	0..1	aggr	This describes the relevant use cases. Note that formal references to use cases should be done in the trace relation. **Tags:**xml.sequenceOffset=35

Table B.11: StructuredReq

C History of Constraints and Specification Items

Please note that the lists in this chapter also include constraints and specification items that have been removed from the specification in a later version. These constraints and specification items do not appear as hyperlinks in the document.

C.1 Constraint and Specification Item History of this document according to AUTOSAR Release R19-11

C.1.1 Added Traceables in R19-11

Number	Heading
[TPS_APSD_01000]	Principle of an abstract platform system description
[TPS_APSD_01001]	Modeling of vehicle communications in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01002]	Agnosticism of deployment modeling artifacts in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01003]	Exclusion of abstract platform artifacts to an AUTOSAR concrete platform
[TPS_APSD_01004]	System `category` for a system description with Abstract Platform content
[TPS_APSD_01005]	Identification of component types in an abstract platform

▽

△

Number	Heading
[TPS_APSD_01006]	Recursive component definition in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01007]	Prototyping of ports in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01008]	Generic typing of interfaces in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01009]	Grouping of ports in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01010]	Agnosticism of abstract platform interfaces to middleware deployments
[TPS_APSD_01011]	Aggregation of interface elements in an abstract platform interface
[TPS_APSD_01012]	Modeling of connectors in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01013]	Abstraction of implementation details of data types in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01014]	Allowed data types in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01015]	Deferral of the `category` of an `ApplicationDataType` typing in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01016]	Concrete definition of a deferred type
[TPS_APSD_01017]	The `category` of a deferred type in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01018]	Exclusion of type mapping in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01100]	Requirement annotation in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01101]	Requirements tracing in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01102]	Functional tracing in an abstract platform

Table C.1: Added Traceables in R19-11

C.1.2 Changed Traceables in R19-11

none

C.1.3 Deleted Traceables in R19-11

none

C.1.4 Added Constraints in R19-11

Number	Heading
[constr_6800]	Non-relevance of `FibexElement` and `SystemMapping` for a `System` description with Abstract Platform content
[constr_6801]	Non-relevance of the attributes `System.pncVectorLength`, `System.pncVectorOffset` for a `System` description with Abstract Platform content
[constr_6802]	Restriction of the `category` of a `CompositionSwComponentType` which types a `RootSwCompositionPrototype` in a `System` description with Abstract Platform content

▽

△

Number	Heading
[constr_6803]	Restriction of the `category` of a `CompositionSwComponentType` which references a `SwComponentPrototype` in a `System` description with Abstract Platform content
[constr_6804]	Non-relevance of `ConstantSpecificationMappingSet` and `DataTypeMappingSet` for a `CompositionSwComponentType` in an Abstract Platform
[constr_6805]	Non-relevance of `PRPortPrototype` for a `System` with Abstract Platform content
[constr_6806]	Restriction of the `category` of a `PortInterface` for a `System` description with Abstract Platform content
[constr_6807]	Exclusivity of an `CompositeInterface` to an Abstract Platform
[constr_6808]	Non-relevance of the attribute `ClientServerOperation.fireAndForget` for a `ClientServerOperation` used in a `CompositeInterface`
[constr_6809]	Non-relevance of `ApApplicationError` and `ApApplicationErrorSet` for a `ClientServerOperation` in the context of a `CompositeInterface`
[constr_6810]	Applicable categories for data types in an abstract platform
[constr_6811]	Exclusivity of `ApplicationDataType.category` `DEFERRED` to the *abstract platform*
[constr_6812]	`SwDataDefProps` applicable to `ApplicationDataType`s exclusive to the *abstract platform*
[constr_6813]	Restriction of `SwComponentType`s in an Abstract Platform

Table C.2: Added Constraints in R19-11

C.1.5 Changed Constraints in R19-11

none

C.1.6 Deleted Constraints in R19-11

none

C.2 Constraint and Specification Item History of this document according to AUTOSAR Release R20-11

C.2.1 Added Traceables in R20-11

Number	Heading
[TPS_APSD_01019]	Typing of `SwComponentPrototype`s used in a `CompositionSwComponentType` in an abstract platform

▽

△

Number	Heading
[TPS_APSD_01020]	Semantics of a CompositionSwComponentType of category XP_COMPONENT_APPLICATION
[TPS_APSD_01022]	Semantics of a CompositeInterface
[TPS_APSD_01023]	Elements of a CompositeInterface
[TPS_APSD_01024]	Semantics of a CompositeInterface.command
[TPS_APSD_01025]	Semantics of a CompositeInterface.indication
[TPS_APSD_01026]	Semantics of a CompositeInterface of category XP_PORT_CTRL_SECURITY
[TPS_APSD_01027]	Semantics of a CompositeInterface of category XP_PORT_CTRL_TIMESYNC
[TPS_APSD_01028]	Semantics of a CompositeInterface of category XP_PORT_DATA_STORAGE
[TPS_APSD_01029]	Semantics of a CompositeInterface of category XP_PORT_DATA_APPLICATION
[TPS_APSD_01030]	Exclusion of implementation level data types
[TPS_APSD_01031]	Sub-classes of ApplicationDataType
[TPS_APSD_01032]	Semantics of an ApplicationDeferredDataType
[TPS_APSD_01033]	Traceability of an ApplicationDeferredDataType
[TPS_APSD_01034]	Requirement annotation and in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01035]	Placement of an abstract platform model
[TPS_APSD_01036]	Data type tracing between abstract and concrete platform models
[TPS_APSD_01037]	Compatibility of an ApplicationDeferredDataType

Table C.3: Added Traceables in R20-11

C.2.2 Changed Traceables in R20-11

Number	Heading
[TPS_APSD_01000]	Principle of an abstract platform system description
[TPS_APSD_01001]	VFB level modeling of an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01002]	Agnosticism of deployment aspects
[TPS_APSD_01003]	Exclusion of abstract platform artifacts to an AUTOSAR concrete platform
[TPS_APSD_01005]	Identification of component types in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01008]	Generic typing of interfaces in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01012]	Modeling of connectors in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01013]	Usage of application level data types
[TPS_APSD_01014]	Allowed data types in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01015]	Deferral of the category of data type in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01016]	Concrete data type resolution of an ApplicationDeferredDataType

Table C.4: Changed Traceables in R20-11

C.2.3 Deleted Traceables in R20-11

Number	Heading
[TPS_APSD_01011]	Aggregation of interface elements in an abstract platform interface
[TPS_APSD_01017]	The `category` of a deferred type in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01018]	Exclusion of type mapping in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01100]	Requirement annotation in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01101]	Requirements tracing in an abstract platform
[TPS_APSD_01102]	Functional tracing in an abstract platform

Table C.5: Deleted Traceables in R20-11

C.2.4 Added Constraints in R20-11

Number	Heading
[constr_6814]	Restriction of `ApplicationDeferredDataType.category`

Table C.6: Added Constraints in R20-11

C.2.5 Changed Constraints in R20-11

Number	Heading
[constr_6803]	Standarized values of `CompositionSwComponentType.category`
[constr_6806]	Standarized values of `CompositeInterface.category`

Table C.7: Changed Constraints in R20-11

C.2.6 Deleted Constraints in R20-11

Number	Heading
[constr_6800]	Non-relevance of `FibexElement` and `SystemMapping` for a `System` description with Abstract Platform content
[constr_6801]	Non-relevance of the attributes `System.pncVectorLength`, `System.pncVectorOffset` for a `System` description with Abstract Platform content
[constr_6802]	Restriction of the `category` of a `CompositionSwComponentType` which types a `RootSwCompositionPrototype` in a `System` description with Abstract Platform content
[constr_6804]	Non-relevance of `ConstantSpecificationMappingSet` and `DataTypeMappingSet` for a `CompositionSwComponentType` in an Abstract Platform
[constr_6805]	Non-relevance of `PRPortPrototype` for a `System` with Abstract Platform content
[constr_6808]	Non-relevance of the attribute `ClientServerOperation.fireAndForget` for a `ClientServerOperation` used in a `CompositeInterface`
[constr_6809]	Non-relevance of `ApApplicationError` and `ApApplicationErrorSet` for a `ClientServerOperation` in the context of a `CompositeInterface`
[constr_6811]	Exclusivity of `ApplicationDataType.category` `DEFERRED` to the *abstract platform*

▽

推荐 modeler.org.cn

△

Number	Heading
[constr_6813]	Restriction of `SwComponentType`s in an Abstract Platform

Table C.8: Deleted Constraints in R20-11

推荐
modeler.org.cn

D Splitable Elements in the Scope of this Document

This chapter contains a table of all model elements stereotyped ≪`atpSplitable`≫ in the scope of this document.

Each entry in the table consists of the identification of the specific model element itself and the applicable value of the tagged value `atp.Splitkey`.

For more information about the concept of splitable model elements and how these shall be treated please refer to [4].

E Variation Points in the Scope of this Document

This chapter contains a table of all model elements stereotyped ≪`atpVariation`≫ in the scope of this document.

Each entry in the table consists of the identification of the model element itself and the applicable value of the tagged value `vh.latestBindingTime`.

For more information about the concept of variation points and how model elements that contain variation points shall be treated please refer to [4].

Variation Point	*Latest Binding Time*
CompositeInterface.command	blueprintDerivationTime
CompositeInterface.indication	blueprintDerivationTime

Table E.1: Usage of variation points